
Prepared at the informal drafting session of November 19, 2013

Background

At its 2007 General Assembly meeting, WIPO Member States adopted forty-five Development Agenda Recommendations. The 2010 WIPO General Assembly then requested “the CDIP to undertake an independent review of the implementation of the Development Agenda Recommendations at the end of the 2012-2013 biennium. Upon consideration of that review, the CDIP may decide on a possible further review. The Terms of Reference and the selection of independent IP and development experts will be agreed by the CDIP.”

The Coordination Mechanism was adopted in 2010 with the aim of mainstreaming development agenda across all WIPO bodies as well as to monitor and assess implementation of the WIPO Development Agenda. The full agreed decision on the Coordination Mechanism is attached as Annex III.

Purpose of the review

The aim of the independent review (the “Review”) is to assess, in a comprehensive manner, WIPO’s activities undertaken to implement the adopted Development Agenda Recommendations.

Scope of the review

The Review will assess the relevance, effectiveness, impact, sustainability and efficiency of WIPO’s activities undertaken to implement each adopted Development Agenda Recommendation during the period from 2008 to 2013 [using the criteria in section IV, below].

GRULAC – The Review will assess the relevance, effectiveness, impact, sustainability and efficiency of WIPO’s activities undertaken to implement the adopted Development Agenda Recommendations during the period from 2008 to 2013 [using the criteria in section IV, below]. The review will also analyze whether or not IP as a tool for development is mainstreamed in all WIPO activities.

Brazil

The review will also analyze whether DA principles are mainstreamed into WIPO programs and activities
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African Group and DAG Joint Proposal

(1) Scope & Purpose: The purpose of the Review is to conduct an independent, thorough and in-depth review of the implementation of the Development Agenda recommendations adopted in 2007 in particular:

The Review will assess the relevance, effectiveness and efficiency of WIPO’s activities undertaken to implement the adopted Development Agenda Recommendations during the period from 2008 to 2013, using the criteria in section IV, below. The emphasis of the Review shall be on

(a) Examine the appropriateness, utility, relevance, effectiveness and adequacy of the activities undertaken to implement the relevant Development Agenda recommendations;
(b) Examine the development impact and orientation of the activities undertaken to implement the relevant Development Agenda recommendations and assess the extent to which the of the Development Agenda recommendations have been achieved;
(c) Assess the adequacy including scope and methodology of the evaluations undertaken of the Development Agenda projects.
(d) Assess the appropriateness, adequacy, effectiveness, and impact of the project-based approach to the implementation of Development Agenda recommendations;
(e) Evaluate the overall impact of Development Agenda implementation especially in mainstreaming development orientation across all WIPO bodies, programs and staff.
(f) Identify the challenges, gaps, opportunities in the implementation of the WIPO Development Agenda recommendations;
(g) Make recommendations on how to further implementation of Development Agenda recommendations as well as with regards to paragraphs (a) to (f).