

Working Group on the Advisory Group, 12 April, PM

7. GOVERNANCE AND REVIEW

7.2.5 The Advisory Group will present an annual report to the Director-General on its evaluation of the implementation of this Framework. The report should cover the following:

- (i) necessary technical capacities of [WHO Network]
- (ii) operational functioning of [WHO Network]
- (iii) global [WHO Network] influenza pandemic preparedness priorities, guidelines and best practices (e.g. vaccine stockpiles, capacity building)
- (iv) increasing and enhancing surveillance for H5N1 and other influenza viruses with human pandemic potential
- (v) the Influenza Virus Tracking Mechanism.
(*Consensus*)
- [(vi) the fair and equitable sharing of benefit activities.]**
- [(vii) the [they can advise on] use of financial and non-financial contributions]**

ADVISORY GROUP TERMS OF REFERENCE

(Adopted by the Intergovernmental Meeting at its resumed session in December 2008)

2. Functions of the Advisory Group

2.1 To monitor, assess and report on how the different functions of the Framework are implemented by its

components. The information to conduct these tasks should be provided by the WHO Secretariat and other independent sources, if available. Monitoring by the Advisory Group will enable ongoing assessment of the functioning of the Framework and should include at least:

- (a) the rapid, systematic and timely sharing of H5N1 and other influenza viruses with human pandemic potential with the [WHO Network];
- (b) the Influenza Virus Traceability Mechanism;
- (c) the global improvement of laboratory capacity, particularly in developing countries, to enhance pandemic influenza preparedness;
- (d) the fair and equitable sharing of benefits.

[(e) on the use the financial contributions, as mentioned in 6.14.5]

2.2 To carry out the necessary assessment of the Framework according to quantitative and qualitative indicators developed from information provided by the WHO Secretariat and other independent sources, if necessary.

2.3 To provide guidance to strengthen the functioning of the Framework to the Director-General.

2.4 Recommendations and reports of the Advisory Group shall be evidence based.

[2.4.1 bis. To make recommendations to the Director-General on the use of financial contributions, as mentioned in 6.14.5]

CO-CHAIR PROPOSED TEXT, 11 APRIL 2011

6.14.3 new:

Influenza vaccine, diagnostic and pharmaceutical manufacturers shall pay an annual partnership contribution to WHO for improving global pandemic influenza preparedness and response. The sum of all annual contributions shall be a minimum of US\$ 20 million. Such contribution shall commence in 2012. Based on the principles of affordability, transparency, equity and relative burden sharing, the Director-General, in consultation with the "Advisory Group", will further define the modalities for this contribution in collaboration with the manufacturers [and report to the EB] (see section 6.14.5 below).

6.14.5

The contribution obtained shall be guided by the [EB/WHA] [“Advisory Group”, comprising 18 members drawn from three Member States in each WHO region, ref. 7.1.2 and 7.2.3]. [The “Advisory Group” shall]/[The DG shall [inform or report to DG to]] [determine]/[propose to the EB/WHA] which proportion of contributions should be used for inter-pandemic preparedness measures, and which proportion should be reserved for response activities in the event of a pandemic, in order to most effectively promote global health security [based on advice of the "Advisory Group"].

Or

[The DG shall propose to the EB[WHA] which proportion of contributions should be used for inter-pandemic preparedness measures, and which proportion should be reserved for response activities in the event of a pandemic, based on advice of the "Advisory Group"]. [The "advisory group" would give recommendations on the use of resources]

7. GOVERNANCE AND REVIEW

7.2.3 The Advisory Group will comprise 18 members drawn from three Member States in each WHO Region, with a skill mix of internationally recognized policy makers, public health experts and technical experts in the field of influenza. (*Consensus*)

The working group were in favour of increasing the membership of the Advisory Group, however they thought this issue should be discussed by the whole OEWG.